

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/613,445	07/03/2003	Thomas E. Nahill	18161 USA	18161 USA 6518	
27081	7590 09/15/2004		EXAMINER		
OWENS-ILLINOIS, INC.			NOLAN, SA	NOLAN, SANDRA M	
ONE SEAGA' TOLEDO, OI	,		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
,			1772		
			DATE MAILED: 09/15/2004	DATE MAILED: 09/15/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			C				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7,0				
Office Action Summany	10/613,445	NAHILL ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
TI IMI NO DATE AND DATE	Sandra M. Nolan	1772	ldra a a				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperent of the second for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY		-	iuress				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	s will be considered time the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>.</u>						
,	action is non-final.						
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-10</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.							
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
11) I he oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	10-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents			0.				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	-	ed in this National	Stage				
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		ed.					
200 the attached detailed office action for a list of	Joraniou Jopioo not rosolivo	· 					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P		O-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>07 July 2003</u> .	6) Other:	.,	, 				

me

Application/Control Number: 10/613,445

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Claims

1. Claims 1-10 are pending.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 03 July 2003 was considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Larsen (US 2004/0146673) in view of Slat (US 6,413,600).

Larsen teaches preforms 22 having finish rings 24 assembled thereon by expanding the ring and then contracting it around the necks of the preforms (par. 0014). It fails to teach the use of crystallized performs.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,445

Art Unit: 1772

Slat teaches the use of precrystallized inner layers in two-layer preforms. At col. 7, lines 1-50, it teaches that precrystallizing the inner layer improves the strength of the bottles made from the two-layer performs.

The references are analogous because they both deal with performs.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ the precrystallized inner perform of Slat as the substrate on which the finish rings of Larsen are attached in order to improve the strength of bottle made therefrom.

The motivation to employ the precrystallized inner perform of Slat as the substrate on which the finish rings of Larsen are attached is found at col. 7, lines 41-45, where better strength properties are said to be attained with precrystallized inner layers.

It is deemed desirable to make bottles having better strength so that they can better withstand the stresses of filling, transportation and storage.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 3-10 are allowed.
- 7. The prior art of record fails to teach the preexpansion of preforms before they are contacted with separately made finishing rings.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be addressed to Sandra M. Nolan, at telephone number 571/272-1495. She can normally be reached Monday through Thursday, from 6:30 am to 4:00 pm, Eastern Time.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,445

Art Unit: 1772

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, her supervisor, Harold Pyon, can be reached at 571/272-1498.

The fax number for patent application documents is 703/872-9306.

S. M. Nolan

S. M. Nolm

Primary Examiner Technology Center 1700

10613445(20040913)